

Community Service Learning (CSL) Mini-Grant Applications, 2010-2011 Scoring Rubric



Project Name: _____

I. Checklist	Yes	No	Needs Work (see Suggestions below)
1. An appropriate site for the project has been identified.			
2. An appropriate Mentor has been chosen, with evidence that the Mentor is "on board".			
3. The project has a realistic timeframe.			

NOTE: Must receive a check in the "Yes" or "Needs work" column in order to be considered further using the Rubric.

II. Rubric Criterion		Total Possible Points	Assigned Points
1. Objectives & Outcomes	There are clearly stated objectives with measurable outcomes. (minimum of two objectives)	10	
2. Community Service Learning Approach	The proposal clearly states how the project reflects an approach to service learning.	10	
3. Impact on Community	The Community Needs Assessment clearly demonstrates a need for the intervention.	10	
	The projected number of community beneficiaries is appropriate.	10	
	There is a realistic plan for community engagement.	10	
	The proposal has a realistic chance of making an impact on the identified community in need.	10	
4. Results	There is an appropriate plan to evaluate the intervention.	10	
	There is a plan to present the project results to the community.	10	
5. Reflection	There is an appropriate plan to reflect and learn from the project.	10	
6. Budget	The project has an appropriate budget.	10	
TOTAL		100	

III. Reviewer Suggestions (1-3 comments are REQUIRED for all applications)	
1.	
2.	
3.	

Return to: Melanie Stone, Assistant Director of CSL, stonem@uthscsa.edu